

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,723	07/31/2003	Joseph Bartle	JHM704	9360	
7590 11/30/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Joseph H. McGlynn			PICKARD, ALISON K		
6111 Saddle Horn Dr. Fairfax, VA 22030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3676		
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/630,723	BARTLE, JOSEPH	50			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alison K. Pickard	3676				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	· —						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔀 Infom	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152 	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/630,723

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowers (5,409,241) in view of Hawley (5,024,450).

Bowers discloses a seal assembly comprising a seal element 55 with an aperture secured on an external portion of a shaft. The assembly comprises a rotator cup 38 in an aperture of a body block 12. The rotator cup engages an external surface of the seal element and is compressed there against by a plate 50 or 31. The plate 31 is secured to the block and engages the rotator cup. A passageway 29 extends from an exterior portion of the block to provide flushing means to an area around the seal element. Bowers does not disclose securing means (i.e. lands and grooves) in the aperture of the seal element. Hawley teaches a seal assembly comprising a seal element secured to a shaft. Hawley teaches plural lands 31 and grooves 32 in the aperture to sealing engage the shaft and firmly retain the sealing element in place. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the seal element of Bowers with the lands and grooves taught by Hawley to ensure a fluid tight seal between the element and shaft.

Regarding claim 7, making the passageways (grooves) serpentine is considered a design choice. See In re Dailey 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966). Therefore, it would have been obvious

Art Unit: 3676

for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the passageways serpentine as a matter of choice in design.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. For example, Otto, Gilbert, or Cox, can also read on at least claim 1.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alison K. Pickard whose telephone number is 703-305-0882. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10-7:30), with alternate Friday's off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 703-306-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alison K. Pickard Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676